



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexamdria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,184	03/15/2002	Ralf Duckeck	1949	1949 1706	
75	90 03/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Striker Striker & Stenby			GIBSON, ERIC M		
103 East Neck I Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 ,			3661		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~ 00		
Advisory Action	10/018,184	DUCKECK, RALF			
Autiony Audion	Examiner	Art Unit	V '		
	Eric M Gibson	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	a in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Offic	MPEP te extension ate extension e action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	fying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	•			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT pla	ace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	wly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by ti	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—·			
10. Other:			7		
		Milles			
	SUPER	IAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. /ISORY PATENT EXAMINE INOLOGY CENTER 3600	E R		
		3000			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Final rejection was proper in response to applicant's amending the claims, requiring new grounds for rejection..

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER FEB 1 0 2004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner:

E. Gibson

Art Unit: 3661

In re:

0/4

Applicant:

DUCKECK, R.

Serial No.:

10/018,184

Filed:

March 15, 2002

60

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

February 10, 2004

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Office Action of December 10, 2003.